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#### Abstract

Photochemical [2+2] cycloaddition of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ with podophyllotoxin derivative containing a cyclohexadienone group in o-dichlorobenzene afforded an isomeric mixture of adducts and a pure adduct of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$-fused podophyllotoxin derivatives. The structures of the products were characterized by MS, NMR and IR spectra.
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The potential applications of fullerene derivatives make the study of the chemical, physical and biological properties of these compounds an important subject ${ }^{1}$. One of the most promising areas of application of fullerenes is the medicinal chemistry, namely as free radical scavengers ${ }^{2,3}$ for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, as inhibitors of the HIV-1 protease ${ }^{4-5}$ or the photodynamic therapy of neoplastic tissues ${ }^{6}$. On the other hand, podophyllotoxin $\mathbf{1}$ is a well-known natural product on account of its long history of use in folk medicine and the biological activity of its many derivatives ${ }^{7,8}$. In particular, its semisynthetic derivatives, etoposide 2 and teniposide 3, are widely used as important anticancer drugs ${ }^{9}$. As a continuation of our and Guo et al.'s recent works in synthesis of the products of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$-fused podophyllotoxin derivatives ${ }^{10}$, herein we wish to report the results of our work on the synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ with the podophyllotoxin derivative containing a cyclohexadienone group by photochemical [2+2] cycloaddition (as shown in Scheme 1).
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## Scheme 1



## Experimental

A solution of SM $4^{11}$ ( $145.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{60}(241.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in O-dichlorobenzene ( 30 mL ) was shoken under supersonic wave for 20 min to nearly desolve, then the reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated with a 450 W mercury-arc lamp for 120 min at room temperature. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica: elution with benzene afforded unreacted $\mathrm{C}_{60}(215.2 \mathrm{mg})$, then using gradient elution with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} /$ EtOAc afforded the pure $1^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-adduct 5 as a brown powder ( 3.0 mg , in $7.1 \%$ conversion yield) and further elution afforded a mixture of two isomers, $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-adducts 6 and $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$, in an approx. 1.5:1.0 ratio, as a red powder (27.8 mg , in $66.2 \%$ conversion yield ).

Table $1 \quad{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta \mathrm{ppm}\right)$, recorded on Bruker AV-400

| Carbon | Adduct 5 | Adduct 6 | Adduct 6' | SM4 ${ }^{11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-4'(C=O) | 198.83 | 192.28 | 199.61 | 190.37 |
| $\mathrm{C}-10(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | 175.76 | 176.16 | 179.09 | 175.33 |
| C-6 | * | * | * | 149.18 |
| C-7 | * | * | * | 148.59 |
| C-3' | * | * | * | 147.80 |
| C-4a | * | * | * | 137.50 |
| C-8a | * | * | * | 132.09 |
| $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ | 55.05 | 130.08 | 129.90 | 129.92 |
| C-2' | 127.80 | 29.78 | 29.74 | 113.54 |
| C-6' | 29.79 | 128.80 | 127.80 | 127.71 |
| C-5 | 110.42 | 110.50 | 110.05 | 109.86 |
| C-8 | 109.43 | 109.33 | 109.01 | 109.22 |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 101.83 | 101.94 | 101.92 | 101.71 |
| C-5' | 89.41 | 95.92 | 95.92 | 93.07 |
| C-9 | 68.53 | 68.23 | 68.11 | 68.02 |
| C-4 | 67.13 | 67.13 | 66.23 | 66.23 |
| 3'-OMe | 55.33 | 128.43 | 55.55 | 55.73 |
| 5'-OMe | 51.59 | 50.64 | 54.38 | 50.34 |
|  | 49.79 | 49.97 | 52.41 | 50.14 |
| C-1 | 44.77 | 44.93 | 39.57 | 43.99 |
| C-2 | 39.22 | 38.99 | 38.78 | 39.29 |
| C-3 | 38.86 | 38.02 | 37.66 | 38.35 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{60}\left(\mathrm{SP}^{3}\right)$ | 91.99 | 67.91 | 67.91 | - |
|  | 95.97 | 89.78 | 89.78 | - |

${ }^{a}$ The data of $c a .50$ peaks for $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ fullerene carbon between $130-160 \mathrm{ppm}$ are not shown.
*Can not assign because of the data in the range of $130-160 \mathrm{ppm}$, in which $\mathrm{C}_{60}-\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ peaks occurred.

## Results and Discussion

The conversion yield of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-adducts with two stereoisomers ( $\mathbf{6} / \mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ ) is much higher than that of $1^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-adduct ( 5 , a single product). The markedly different conversion yield between $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ - and $1^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-adducts is reasonable for crowding of the $1^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-position in the molecule of dienone 4. The molecular ion peaks at $m / z 1150\left(\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ were found from the Maldi-TOF mass spectra (recorded on Bruker BIFLEX III) of both 5 and $\mathbf{6 / 6}$. The structures of the adducts were characterized by analysis of their NMR and IR spectra and by comparison with those of starting materials (SM). Each ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the adducts display $c a .50$ resolved or partially resolved signals for $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ fullerene moiety carbons in the region of quaternary carbon atoms between 130 and 160 ppm , together with two signals of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ bridgehead fullerene carbon at the range of $65-100 \mathrm{ppm}$. The number and chemical shifts of the fullerene moiety signals are only consistent with a closed 6,6-bridged structure for the adducts, and eliminate annulene-like open adduct structures from further consideration ${ }^{12}$. The remaining 22 signals belong to the dienone moiety carbon atoms, of which 5 signals (denoted as * in Table 1) are attributed to the quaternary carbon atoms within the area of fullerene signals. Most of them have downfield shifts compared to the starting dienone 4, due to the electronwithdrawing influence of the carbon sphere. However, two signals of C-1' and C-6' for $1^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-aduct 5 appear at 55.05 and 29.79 ppm which are much higher fields than those of SM 4, due to the changed hybridized orbitals from $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$. Similar results can be observed from the spectrum of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-aducts $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$, in which the signals of C-2' (29.78 and 29.74 ppm ) and C-3' (not shown in Table 1) have upfield shifts. Careful analysis of both ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and

Table $2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectral data ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ), recorded on Bruker AV-300

| Proton | Adduct 5 | Adduct 6 | Adduct $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ | SM 4 $^{\text {II }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| H-5 | 6.94 s | 7.08 s | 6.78 | 6.84 s |
| H-8 | 6.88 s | 6.96 s | 6.50 s | 6.60 s |
| H-2' | 6.20 s | 6.08 d | 5.95 d | $6.30 \mathrm{~d}(J=1.65)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ | $5.46 \mathrm{~d}(J=2.04)$ | 5.52 d | 5.69 d | $5.08 \mathrm{t}(J=1.40)$ |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $6.05 \mathrm{ABq}(J=1.21)$ | 5.98 s | 6.00 s | $5.99 \mathrm{ABq}(J=1.20)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}-4$ | $4.99 \mathrm{~d}(J=4.27)$ | 4.99 d | 4.83 d | $4.82 \mathrm{~d}(J=3.20)$ |
| H-1 | $4.86 \mathrm{~d}(J=2.62)$ | 4.78 d | 4.68 m | $4.17 \mathrm{~d}(J=5.20)$ |
| H-9 | 4.42 m | 4.66 m | 4.63 m | 4.43 m |
|  | 4.50 m | 4.47 m | 4.43 m | 4.49 m |
| $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 3.69 s | 3.54 s | 3.72 s | 3.26 s |
|  | 3.64 s | 3.52 s | 3.72 s | 3.17 s |
| $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 3.77 s | 7.36 s | 3.74 s | 3.71 s |
| H-2 | 3.49 m | 3.37 m | 3.36 m | 3.29 dd |
| H-3 | 3.43 m | 2.80 m | 2.80 m | 2.85 m |

Table 3 IR spectral data ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), recorded on Bruker Vector-22

| Group | $\mathrm{C}_{60}(\mathrm{SM})$ | Adduct 5 | Adduct 6/6' | SM 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C-4' $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | - | 1638 | 1631 | 1685 |
| C-10 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | - | 1757 | 1760 | 1770 |
| C $_{60}$ moiety | $1182,1428,527,576$ | $1187,1433,527$ | $1189,1433,527$ | - |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixture adducts, two sets of signals having an area ratio of $c a$. 1.5:1.0 are observed, and these are assigned to the stereoisomeric adducts $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$, respectively, in which the methoxyl groups are located at different side of the four-membered ring. However, in the case of adduct 5, no stereoisomer can be created although the tetralin moiety (Ar) can be located at two sides of the four-membered ring forming the same structure as 5 .

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Table 2) of the adducts 5, $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ' are similar to the starting dienone 4. Most of the signals have a bit of downfield shifts as did in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. It should be noted that the 7.36 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and 128.43 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of 6, which are much lower field than usual, assigned to $3^{\prime}$-OMe in the molecule of $\mathbf{6}$ probably due to the influence of fullerene moiety to the protons of methoxyl. As shown in Table 2, for adduct 5, H-1 and H-4 resonated at 4.86 (d, $J_{1,2}=2.62 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and 4.99 (d, $J_{3,4}=4.27 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), respectively. Clearly, the $J_{1,2}$ and $J_{3,4}$ values of 5 are consistent with those of SM $4^{11}$, indicating the configurations of C-2 and C-4 remain, which are required for the anti-tumor activities. Similar $J$ values in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of adducts $\mathbf{6} / \mathbf{6}^{\prime}$ should be presented but they are more complex due to the mixture compounds.

The IR spectra of the products show a carbonyl band of $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ at $1638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for adducts 5 and $1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for adduct $\mathbf{6 / 6}$ ', both of them are less than that of dienone $\mathbf{4}$ at $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the less conjugation of the adducts. Moreover, three characteristic bands were found in the spectra of each adducts (Table 3), which assigned to the vibrations of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ moiety.
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